1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3	i wienischer z	
4		2017 - 10:00 a.m.
5	Concord, New	Hampsnire
6		NHPUC FEB10'17 am 8:36
7	RE:	DE 17-002 CLEARVIEW ELECTRIC, INC. d/b/a
8		CLEARVIEW ENERGY: Investigation and Show Cause Hearing
9		on Penalties and Suspension or Revocation of CEPS Registration.
10		
11	PRESENT:	Chairman Martin P. Honigberg, Presiding Commissioner Robert R. Scott
12		Commissioner Kathryn M. Bailey
13		Sandy Deno, Clerk
14	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Clearview Electric d/b/a
15		Clearview Energy: Brad N. Mondschein, Esq. (Pullman)
16		Reptg. Liberty Utilities (Granite
17		State Electric) Corp.: Michael J. Sheehan, Esq.
18		Reptg. Public Service of New Hampshire
19		<pre>d/b/a Eversource Energy: Matthew J. Fossum, Esq.</pre>
20		Reptg. Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.:
21		Patrick H. Taylor, Esq.
22		Sandan Sanda
23	Court Repo	rter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
24		3 3 3 3 3 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3



1		
2	APPEARANCES:	(Continued)
3		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers:
4		D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Office of Consumer Advocate
5		Reptg. PUC Staff: David K. Wiesner, Esq.
6		Amanda Noonan, Dir./Cons. Services David Goyette, Electric Division
7		David Goycette, Licetife Division
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1			
2	INDEX		
3		PAG	E NO.
4	SUMMARY OF SETTLEMENT BY MR. WIESNER 13,	16,	26
5			
6	FOLLOW-UP STATEMENTS ON THE SUMMARY OF SETTLEMENT BY:		
7	Mr. Mondschein	15,	
8	Mr. Kreis		18
9	QUESTIONS BY:		
10	Chairman Honigberg		13
11	Cmsr. Bailey		16
12	PUBLIC COMMENT BY:		
13	Daniel Finocchiaro		19
14	Capt. Ian Donovan		22
15	* * *		
16	WITNESS: AMANDA O. NOONAN		
17	Direct examination by Mr. Wiesner		28
18	Cross-examination by Mr. Fossum		3 4
19	Interrogatories by Cmsr. Scott		37
20	Interrogatories by Cmsr. Bailey		40
21	Interrogatories by Chairman Honigberg		44
22	Cross-examination by Mr. Taylor		46
23			
24			

{DE 17-002} {01-31-17}

ĺ		i
1		
2	I N D E X (continued)	
3		PAGE NO.
4	STATEMENTS RE: MOTION FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT BY:	
5	CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT BY:	
6	Chairman Honigberg	48
7	Mr. Kreis Mr. Mondschein	17 , 48 48
8		
9	SUMMARY OF SETTLEMENT TERM SHEET	
10	BY MR. WIESNER	58
11	QUESTIONS BY:	
12	Chairman Honigberg	64 , 65 67
13	Cmsr. Bailey	6 /
14		
15	* * *	
16		
17	EXHIBITS	
18	EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
19	1 Settlement Term Sheet	58
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

PROCEEDING

[Hearing commenced at 11:29 a.m.]

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We're here this morning in Docket DE 17-002, which is investigation into the conduct of Clearview Energy as a competitive electric power supplier. Those of you who are here regularly know I do not like to read from orders of notice, but I will do so to a limited extent here.

On December 23rd, 2016, Commission

Staff filed a memorandum in Docket DE 16-837

regarding a complaint filed by Unitil Energy

Systems against Clearview Energy. In its

memorandum, Staff recommended that the

Commission expand the scope of that docket to

include a review of complaints received by the

Commission, including those from customers of

Eversource and Liberty, as well as any other

complaints received directly by Eversource and

Liberty. Staff further recommended that, based

on the findings of that expanded investigation,

the Commission consider taking enforcement

action against Clearview, potentially including

the assessment of fines and/or suspension or revocation of its registration as a competitive electric power supplier as warranted.

The allegations described in the complaint summarized in Staff's memorandum, if proven, would represent violations of numerous Commission's rules and New Hampshire statutes.

We are here for a hearing on the merits of the allegations, and it was filed as a show cause order, but, as I understand it, Staff will have the burden of proof in regards to the allegations.

I also believe we made the EDCs mandatory parties to this docket, and I see representatives of those companies here as well.

So, before we do anything else, and I understand you do have some information for us, but, before we do that, let's take appearances, starting with Staff. We'll start with Staff.

MR. WIESNER: Since we have the burden, thank you, Mr. Chairman. Dave Wiesner, Staff attorney. With me today are Amanda Noonan, the Director of the Consumer Services

1	and External Affairs Division; Rorie Patterson,
2	who's the Assistant Director of that Division;
3	and also at the table is David Goyette of the
4	Electric Division.
5	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. For
6	Clearview?
7	MR. MONDSCHEIN: Good morning,
8	Commissioners. Brad Mondschein, from Pullman &
9	Comley, on behalf of Clearview Electric. And
10	with me is Frank McGovern, who is the President
11	and owner of Clearview Energy. And sitting
12	next to Mr. McGovern is Nicole Steele, who is
13	the Vice President of Regulatory Affairs and
14	MS. STEELE: Quality Assurance.
15	MR. MONDSCHEIN: and Quality
16	Assurance. We have other staff here, but I
17	don't need to put all them into the record.
18	Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Off the record.
20	[Brief off-the-record discussion
21	ensued.]
22	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
23	Other appearances?
24	MR. SHEEHAN: Good morning,

1 Commissioners. Mike Sheehan, on behalf of Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) 2 3 Corp. And with me is Heather Tebbetts. 4 MR. FOSSUM: Good morning, 5 Commissioners. Matthew Fossum, here for Public Service Company of New Hampshire doing business 6 7 as Eversource Energy. MR. TAYLOR: Good morning. Patrick 8 9 Taylor, on behalf of Unitil Energy Systems, 10 Incorporated. With me today are Dan Goodwin 11 and Stephen Merrett. 12 MR. KREIS: Good morning. I am D. Maurice Kreis. I am the head of the Office of 13 14 the Consumer Advocate. We represent the 15 interests of residential utility customers 16 pursuant to RSA 363:28. 17 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: That represents 18 all the parties, correct, Mr. Wiesner? 19 MR. WIESNER: Yes. 20 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. 21 are we doing here, Mr. Wiesner? Enlighten me. 22 MR. WIESNER: Well, Mr. Chairman, I'm 23 pleased to report that we reached a Settlement 24 Agreement this morning with the Company. And I

believe that the OCA is also supportive of that Settlement. It has not been reduced to writing. I can describe it in broad terms. The key material terms can be easily described. And, then, we would propose to have a witness, Ms. Noonan, speak to some of the details and some of the rationale for entering into that agreement.

We only reached it this morning. So,

I believe that I should ask for a rule waiver
to have it considered by the Commission,
because typically settlement agreements are
supposed to be filed in advance of the hearing,
and we did not have an opportunity to do it.

The expectation would be that a detailed
agreement would be worked out between the

Parties and signed and filed with the

Commission within ten business days of today.

So, I could either begin to

describing the terms of the Settlement. One of
the other things we want to make sure we do
today is provide an opportunity, if the

Commission is willing to entertain them, for
public commenters to speak with respect to

their experience with Clearview.

We had some folks who came here today expecting to participate in some way in the merits hearing, and we did want to make sure that there was an opportunity for them to speak, if that pleases the Commission?

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Well, it is our practice to receive public comment in hearings like this. We fully anticipated receiving public comment. We probably would have, in the normal course, done that first, so that the members of the public could offer their comments and then be able to leave, if they have other things to do, as most of us do.

Is that consistent with how you want to proceed?

MR. WIESNER: Either way. I could either summarize the terms of the Settlement now, and that might be helpful to public commenters who want to speak to the Settlement, or we can go directly to their public comment.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: No. I think it makes sense for you to summarize the Agreement so people hear that.

But, before you do that, let me get a sense of how many people are here to offer public comment. We have the sign-in sheet, but the sign-in sheet has a number of names on it, of party representatives, utility representatives, I think there was some confusion about what the purpose of the sign-in sheet was.

So, let me just get a show of hands. How many people are here as members of the public to provide comment?

[Show of hands.]

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think I see just two hands. Is that right?

Okay. So, that won't take long then.

So, let me, before you do the terms of the

Settlement, Mr. Wiesner, I want to make sure

we're clear on the process going forward. That

we will need to make some judgment and evaluate

the terms of the Settlement and make sure their

consistent with the standards that we require.

And we're going to do that based on the

description that the witnesses will provide,

and then the written Agreement, when it's

1	submitted?
2	MR. WIESNER: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So, we would
4	not
5	MR. WIESNER: That's the way I
6	thought of it.
7	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I didn't mean to
8	interrupt. That would mean we would not need
9	to come back for another hearing, once the
10	Agreement is reduced to writing?
11	MR. WIESNER: That's correct. I
12	mean, the material terms will be addressed
13	today, both in statements by counsel, as well
14	as by Ms. Noonan on the stand. And, then, the
15	details of the Agreement will be submitted with
16	the signed Agreement within ten business days.
17	But without, in my conception of this process,
18	without any further need for an evidentiary
19	hearing regarding the terms of the Settlement.
20	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
21	That's helpful. Thank you. And I saw
22	Mr. Mondschein nodding his head while you were
23	speaking. So, that looks good.
24	MR. MONDSCHEIN: Yes. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. So, Mr. Wiesner, then why don't you describe the terms of the Settlement.

MR. WIESNER: I mean, simply, the core of this proceeding targeting Clearview really is about its marketing practices, and the problems that have surfaced, alleged complaints regarding those marketing practices. So that the key provision of the Settlement is that the Company would agree to immediately cease all marketing activities and not sign up any new customers in the state. And, to be specific about that, no further EDI transactions would be submitted to switch customers to Clearview electric service after today.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: What would happen with existing customers?

MR. WIESNER: Existing customers would continue to be served by the Company, and with the expectation that, I'll just note, that the Company's registration as a competitive supplier in the state expires as of March 21st, they have filed a renewal application. And,

assuming that that renewal application is found to meet all of the specific requirements for eligibility as a CEPS in the state, that would be renewed. And they would continue to serve those existing customers, basically, until those customers, one way or the other, leave Clearview service, sort of a wind-down through attrition, if you will, without a specified end point.

It is possible, and we will provide for this through some detail in the Settlement Agreement, it is possible that the Company at some point may make a business decision to voluntarily withdraw from the state. Our expectation is that they would come to the Commission, at least to the Commission Staff, with a plan for how that will be implemented, so that there's an orderly transition of any remaining customers. An opportunity for them, for example, to choose alternative competitive suppliers or be switched to default service, with a timeline established pursuant to the plan and notices provided to the customers.

In the meantime, this proceeding --

this docket would be held open, the hearing would be held in abeyance. So, if problems arise, if the Settlement is not satisfied, we could come back here and have a hearing on the merits on allegations old and new with respect to the Company's practices in the state.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you, Mr. Wiesner.

Mr. Mondschein and Mr. Kreis, is that consistent with your understanding?

MR. MONDSCHEIN: I believe, David, there's one additional part to that Settlement, which is that Clearview is agreeing to not — to disband, for lack of a better word, on new customers, it will be for some period of time, after which they could come back to the Commission and ask for permission to then take on new customers in some role. We have not yet worked out what that timeframe is, but it would have to be subject to, we believe, Staff approval, to basically either amend the Settlement or somehow change the terms of the Settlement. But that we haven't yet worked out the timeframe of what that would be. But there

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

would be some opportunity to come back and say "we want to do X", "we want to participate in New Hampshire through this or that means", and ask for Staff to approve that at that time. MR. WIESNER: That's correct. And I apologize for the oversight in not noting that in my description of the Settlement. We have informally referred to that as a "stay-out" provision. But, as Attorney Mondschein notes, there would be an exception, or at least there would be an opportunity past a certain point in time, currently unspecified, for the Company to seek to once again resume marketing activities in the state, with prior review and approval at some level of detail by Commission Staff.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner Bailey.

CMSR. BAILEY: Mr. Mondschein, what happens to your registration in March?

MR. MONDSCHEIN: It's expected that the registration, if it's otherwise in compliance with New Hampshire regulations and laws, it would be approved.

CMSR. BAILEY: So, it's only the

Settlement Agreement that will prevent you from serving customers?

MR. MONDSCHEIN: Correct. And, well, with the -- with the enforcement mechanism of having this hearing reinstated, and this hearing going forward on the allegations that are presented before you today, and any additional allegations that maybe come up afterwards.

CMSR. BAILEY: And do each of you have a timeframe for this stay-out in mind? Or is that yet to be negotiated? And is it possible that you won't agree?

MR. MONDSCHEIN: It's still yet to be negotiated. I can't imagine we won't agree. I believe we'll come to an agreement on that. I don't see an issue. I can't imagine we wouldn't agree.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Kreis.

MR. KREIS: Mr. Chairman, one other issue that the Parties haven't had a chance to talk about this morning, and that we ought to figure out how we want to approach, is the pending Motion for Protective Order.

1 I guess the OCA's position is that 2 the motion should be denied at this point. 3 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Well, let's deal with that separately, okay? 4 MR. KREIS: Sure. Understood. 5 6 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: But, in terms of 7 the Settlement, Mr. Kreis, was there anything else that either Mr. Wiesner or Mr. Mondschein 8 9 characterized in way you weren't comfortable 10 with or omitted? 11 MR. KREIS: No. I am perfectly 12 comfortable with the terms as they have been 13 laid out. 14 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. 15 we ready then to receive public comment? 16 [No verbal response.] 17 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I sense we are. 18 The two people who appear to me to be 19 identified as members of the public -- I'm 20 sorry, I'm not going to do well with this name, 21 I'm afraid, Daniel Finocchiaro? 22 MR. FINOCCHIARO: Yes. 23 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And Ian Donovan? 24 CAPT. DONOVAN: Correct.

{DE 17-002} {01-31-17}

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. So,
Mr. Finocchiaro, why don't you go first. And,
as long as you have a microphone near to you,
and the red light on, it will work beautifully.

MR. FINOCCHIARO: Thank you very much. So, my statement was, I believe, filed, but I would like to read it.

My name is Danny Finocchiaro and my address is 357 Seames Drive, Manchester, New Hampshire. I'm a customer of Eversource Energy.

On or about August 9th, 2016, I contacted the New Hampshire Public Utilities
Commission. I contacted the PUC because, on or about August 7th, 2016, a representative of
Clearview Energy, by the name of Lloyd Putnam,
visited my home. The Clearview representative
attempted to solicit my enrollment in
Clearview's electric supply service. The
Clearview representative wore no badges or
insignia of any kind and provided no
identification. The Clearview representative
told me that he was making house calls to see
if my Eversource bill was correct.

Based on the way the representative initiated the conversation, it seemed as though he was working for Eversource. When I told the Clearview representative that I was not interested in anything, he stated that he was "not selling anything". I showed the Clearview representative my Eversource bill. The Clearview representative told me that the bill was incorrect. The Clearview representative asked to use my phone to call and correct my bill on speaker phone.

While the Clear -- and when they called, there was no sign to being Clearview, I should elaborate that.

I showed the Clear -- excuse me.

While the Clearview representative was on the phone, I realized that he was attempting to change my electric supply services. The Clearview representative stated words to the effect that changing my electric supply service would lock in a price for the winter.

When I spoke with the representative on the phone, over speaker phone, I told the representative not to make any changes to my

account.

When I got off the telephone, I told the Clearview representative at my home to leave. When I told the Clearview representative to leave, he stated "to not mistake him" -- excuse me for this -- "for a pussy".

After the Clearview representative left, I called Clearview to ensure that no changes were made to my account. The Clearview representative on the phone stated the words — stated words to the effect that my negative experience was not the first negative customer experience with Clearview. The representative on the phone stated the words to the effect "If the Company did things normally and were up front with people, this would never happen."

The representative on the phone stated words to the effect that the Company outsourced for its door—to—door sales people and "who knows who or what quality they are."

So, I'd like to only add one thing.

If, by chance, they were to apply for reinstatement in the future, I strongly suggest

1 that you research their practices outside of 2 New Hampshire, because, in my personal opinion, 3 they are completely unethical and have no 4 business to do business in New Hampshire. 5 Thank you very much. 6 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you, 7 Mr. Finocchiaro. Mr. Donovan. 8 9 CAPT. DONOVAN: All right. Would you 10 like me to read the statement that I submitted 11 or do you want me to just explain it? 12 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I leave that up 13 I'd just ask, if you do choose to read 14 it, read it slowly, to that it gets caught all 15 by the reporter here. 16 CAPT. DONOVAN: Okay. All right. Му 17 name is Ian Donovan. I am employed by the 18 Hillsboro Police Department. My business

CAPT. DONOVAN: Okay. All right. My name is Ian Donovan. I am employed by the Hillsboro Police Department. My business address is 22 Municipal Drive, Hillsboro, New Hampshire. I have worked for the police department for 12 years. I presently serve as a Captain. I have served in that role for four years. In my duties as Captain, I regularly interact with members of the public directly,

19

20

21

22

23

24

and I supervise the work of 11 officers who also regularly interact directly with the public. Much of our contact with the public is through telephone calls.

In and around November 2016, the

Department received calls of concern regarding
door-to-door sales by Clearview Energy. On or
about November 25th, 2016, I contacted New

Hampshire Public Utilities Commission. I

contacted the Public Utilities to confirm that

Clearview was a legitimate power company

registered to do business in New Hampshire.

The citizens who contacted the Police

Department reported that they were concerned

that Clearview's activities were a scam.

In the course of my official duties,

I met with one young male who claimed to be a

Clearview employee. He provided a valid New

Hampshire Hawkers and Peddlers license.

However, he failed to provide accurate

identification for himself. The Clearview

employee was carrying another person's

identification.

The incident report that is attached

to my statement is a true and accurate copy of the records kept in the usual course of my Department's duties.

I have also provided -- I have six incidences that we documented of complaints from residents in our town, the way the -- basically, the door-to-door sales practices were handled.

And, in the first report, the specific one, these people actually said that the Clearview employee represented himself as Eversource. In the other five complaints that were documented, they were just not happy with the way that they were being approached, in an aggressive sales tactic.

These complaints actually began at the end of August, and ran through most of the Fall of 2016.

And only my intention to contact the Public Utilities Commission was mainly just to confirm that they were a legitimate company, so that we could inform the public that they were not there to scam them or whatever they were doing. So, that was the main intent.

1	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you,
2	Captain. We appreciate your coming here today.
3	CAPT. DONOVAN: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I am correct
5	there are no other members of the public who
6	wish to provide comment today?
7	[No verbal response.]
8	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
9	We'll close that portion of the hearing.
10	Mr. Wiesner, are we ready to hear
11	from the witnesses regarding the Settlement?
12	MR. MONDSCHEIN: Mr. Honigberg?
13	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Mondschein,
14	yes.
15	MR. MONDSCHEIN: Could I just speak
16	to Mr. Wiesner for one minute? Something else
17	has come up that we realize may affect the
18	Settlement. So, I just wanted to clarify
19	something with Mr. Wiesner for one moment, if
20	you don't mind?
21	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Sure. Let's go
22	off the record.
23	MR. MONDSCHEIN: Thank you.
24	[Atty. Mondschein and Atty.

Wiesner conferring, as well as

other parties.]

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Back on the record.

All right. Is there anything we need to know, based on the conservations that were just taking place?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ WIESNER: Yes. I apologize for that, Mr. Chairman.

There was a detailed point, which is an important one, in particular, because I earlier spoke to -- spoken to the issue of EDI processing and the sort of end date for EDI processing to switch new customers to Clearview. It was pointed out to us that there are some number of customers who are already in the pipeline to be enrolled with Clearview as a result of previous sign-ups. And, of course, they have a recision period under the Commission's rules, which we try to respect and accommodate by pushing out that date by, I believe, eight calendar days.

I think I would invite Attorney Mondschein to describe on the record what the

Company is proposing to do.

MR. MONDSCHEIN: Thank you. So, we have some customers who are in the queue for lack of a better word, and there's 59 of them. They will all be processed, at the latest, eight days, calendar days, from today. We are going to provide each of the utilities a list of those names that are within their territory so they know who exactly these customers are. And, therefore, those processes will still go through. And, then, so, the cut-off for the EDI will be eight days from today, and will only include, in the next eight days, those list of customers.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. Are we ready to hear from witnesses? Please say "yes".

MR. WIESNER: I believe we are. And we only have one witness, who is Amanda Noonan, if you're --

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.

While Ms. Noonan takes her place in the witness box, I'll tell the utilities that, you know, after Ms. Noonan is done, you'll certainly have

[WITNESS: Noonan]

1 an opportunity to ask questions. If you have 2 concerns or want to express support, you'll 3 have a chance to do that. You are mandatory 4 parties to this proceeding. 5 Does that make sense to all of you, 6 Mr. Taylor, Mr. Sheehan, Mr. Fossum? 7 MR. FOSSUM: Yes, it does. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And I see 8 9 nodding heads. That's good. I appreciate 10 that. 11 Mr. Patnaude. 12 (Whereupon **Amanda O. Noonan** was 13 duly sworn by the Court 14 Reporter.) 15 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Wiesner. 16 AMANDA O. NOONAN, SWORN 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. WIESNER: 19 Ms. Noonan, for the record would you please Q. 20 state your name and position with the 21 Commission. 22 Certainly. My name is Amanda Noonan. I'm the 23 Director of the Consumer Services and External 24 Affairs Division.

[WITNESS: Noonan]

- 1 Q. And how long have you held that position?
- 2 | A. Approximately 14 months in that position.
- Q. And prior to that, you held a similar position with the Commission?
- 5 A. Yes. For 18 years.
- Q. And you have testified before the Commission before?
- 8 A. Yes, I have.

22

23

24

- 9 Q. Could you please briefly describe your role in investigating the complaints against Clearview.
- 11 Certainly. The Consumer Services Division Α. 12 receives phone calls from customers with 13 questions about their utility service, as well 14 as competitive energy supply service. We began 15 to receive an uptick of calls regarding 16 Clearview Energy in August. By mid -- probably 17 the middle to the third week in September, it 18 was significant enough that I reached out to 19 Clearview to ask them what action they were 20 taking, what steps they were taking to correct 21 these issues that we were receiving.

We received an action plan from Clearview, but we continued to receive customer complaints. And, so, we began the process of

compiling those complaints and putting together part of the information that was ultimately filed in the proceeding opened when Unitil filed its complaint in October, end of October 2016.

Since that time, we've put those together, we've looked at the issues. We filed a recommendation with the Commission in December, and have had conversations with Clearview since then regarding the matters raised in that memo.

- Q. And, with respect to the Settlement that's been described this morning, can you please briefly summarize why Staff has concluded that that's a reasonable approach that provides benefits to customers?
- A. The overwhelming theme, for lack of a better word, of the calls that we received from customers had to with the marketing -- what they perceived as the marketing practices of the Clearview representatives at their door. The Settlement that was reached this morning eliminates completely those problematic marketing issues.

By allowing Clearview to continue to serve

those customers that have enrolled and have not left their service, it ensures that there's no harm to those customers if the rate that they're on is advantageous to them, more advantageous perhaps than another rate in the market or the default service rate of their utility provider.

All the rates are variable rates. There are no early termination fees. So, customers could leave at any time with no harm. And, for customers that perhaps, you know, selected Clearview because of their green energy product, they're able to continue with that selection for as long as they choose.

It provides a smoother transition for customers through all of those things that I just described. The condition that Staff review any exit plan, when Clearview gets to a point perhaps that the number of customers it's serving is no longer sufficient for it to continue to do business in New Hampshire and incur the regulatory fees and assessments that it would incur as a registered supplier, will help ensure that there's adequate notice to

customers before Clearview exits the market, and provide, again, a smooth transition for those customers to whatever service offering they choose at that point.

The provision regarding a stay-out I think provides some additional protections to customers in the State of New Hampshire. It sends an appropriate message to the competitive energy supply market in New Hampshire about the Commission's commitment to ensuring that we have a robust competitive energy supply market with adequate consumer protections, so that consumers can have faith in the decisions that they make and know that they're being protected, and continue to feel comfortable making a choice of energy supply, if they so choose.

- Q. Thank you, Ms. Noonan. And, in your opinion, are the Settlement terms, as they have been described here today, subject to being detailed with more specificity in the final written Agreement, are they just and reasonable and serve the public interest?
- A. Yes. I believe they do.

MR. WIESNER: I have no further --1 CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS: 2 3 They are and they do. Α. 4 MR. WIESNER: Sorry. I have no 5 further questions of this witness. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You ask a 6 7 compound question, you get multiple answers, 8 Mr. Wiesner. Which of the other parties would like 9 10 to ask questions of Ms. Noonan? Let me see who 11 wants -- anyone? 12 [Show of hands.] CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Sheehan 13 14 Mr. Fossum does. Anybody else? does. 15 [No verbal response.] 16 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. 17 Mr. Sheehan, why don't you go first. 18 MR. SHEEHAN: I was actually going to 19 say "I don't have any questions of Ms. Noonan." 20 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: There you go. 21 Mr. Fossum. 22 MR. FOSSUM: Thank you. I just have, 23 well, two questions, I guess. 24 CROSS-EXAMINATION

{DE 17-002} {01-31-17}

BY MR. FOSSUM:

- Q. The first one is, if I could confirm, I believe
 I just heard Ms. Noonan say that there is "no
 cancellation fee for customers who might leave
 Clearview". Did I hear that correctly?
- A. Yes. We asked the question of Clearview as to the rate that the customers that are currently enrolled what rate they were on. We understand they're all on a variable rate, and there is no early termination fee associated with that variable rate.

MR. FOSSUM: Okay. I guess this is a somewhat loose hearing, I'll just note that I pulled up the Commission's energy supplier shopping page, and, under Clearview Energy, it does list "cancellation fees" for customers.

So, I don't know quite what that means for the customers covered by the Settlement Agreement.

But, to the extent that Eversource might receive a telephone call from a customer concerned about a fee, we'd like to give them correct information.

And, so, I guess I'm looking for -- maybe I'm re-asking the question, I'm just

1	looking for confirmation that any customers
2	currently on Clearview's service should not
3	expect a cancellation fee if they leave, you
4	can pull out at any time?
5	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: There's some
6	hubbub up in the front of the room, Mr. Fossum,
7	while that's going on. So, I think there may
8	be an answer from the Company.
9	So, Mr. Mondschein.
10	MR. MONDSCHEIN: Thank you, Mr.
11	Chairman. So, what I understand is that there
12	is a product that is offered by Clearview that
13	does have an early termination fee. However,
14	we believe that there are no customers actually
15	on that product. We don't believe there are.
16	If there happens to be anyone on that product,
17	but right now sitting here we don't believe
18	there are, that that early termination fee will
19	be taken down and there will be none assessed.
20	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Are
21	we clear on that one, Mr. Fossum?
22	MR. FOSSUM: Yes. Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
24	MR. FOSSUM: I only had one other

1 question. BY MR. FOSSUM: 2 3 For our purposes, for Eversource, if, say, Q. after this, the eight-day period that we 4 5 discussed or that I've heard discussed this 6 morning, we get a call from a customer who has 7 either been approached by someone claiming to be a representative of Clearview, is there a 8 preferred method for referring that customer? 9 10 Should that customer be referred to Clearview, 11 to the Commission, in the event that we get 12 such a contact? 13 So, we would like to know about that, if you 14 get such a call. I'm assuming the Company 15 would like to know also. But we would notify 16 them if you direct the customer to us. 17 MR. FOSSUM: Thank you. 18 MR. MONDSCHEIN: Mr. Honigberg, may I 19 say something else? 20 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Certainly, Mr. 21 Mondschein. 22

MR. MONDSCHEIN: I think if anyone sitting in this room hears that there's anyone out in the field claiming to be a Clearview

23

24

{DE 17-002} {01-31-17}

1 representative, not only should they be 2 contacting the Company and the PUC, they should 3 be calling their local police department and 4 have the person arrested.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.

Commissioner Scott.

7 CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you.

BY CMSR. SCOTT:

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Does the Settlement -- Ms. Noonan, thank you. Does the Settlement envision any kind of public outreach or communication with the public?
- That would be a detail that we didn't discuss Α. this morning that might come up in the course of hammering out an actual written Settlement Agreement.
- Q. Okay. And, in particular, and I don't know this, but it sounds like the Company has, obviously, been going door-to-door and handing out information. So, is it a concern, from your Division's aspect, that somebody who hasn't signed up yet, they're not part of the 59 in queue, --
- 23 Uh-huh. Α.
- 24 -- but they take a while to make a decision and Q.

```
they make the call after, is that a concern?
 1
 2
         Or would it -- is it your understanding, would
 3
         Clearview be still manning the phones or --
 4
         So, yes. I think Clearview will still be
    Α.
 5
         manning the phones, because they do business in
         other states. But our understanding is that
 6
 7
         Clearview will make whatever changes it needs
         to make to its website to shut down any website
 8
9
         enrollments for New Hampshire, and that will be
10
         done today, within the next 24 hours. And that
11
         any calls that may trickle in, those customers
12
         would be advised "No, we're very sorry. We're
13
         not offering a product in New Hampshire
14
         anymore."
15
         And I'll --
    Q.
16
                   MR. MONDSCHEIN: I'm sorry,
17
         Commissioner Scott, if I may? If there's also
18
         a -- an EDI would have to be generated to the
19
         electric distribution companies to make that
20
         switch. And the electric distribution
21
         companies are going to block anything eight
22
         days from today, so that wouldn't go through.
23
                   CMSR. SCOTT:
                                  Thank you.
```

24

BY CMSR. SCOTT:

Q. And to the clarify my questions on customer outreach or potential customer outreach is just to eliminate the confusion for them. understand, certainly, --

39

Α. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 6 -- they couldn't physically be moved after Q. 7 this. So, in a similar vein, what I think I heard is the Company would effectively, lacking 8 9 any approval in the future to come back, the 10 Company was talking about a wind-down, is that 11 your understanding?
- 12 That's correct. Α. Yes.
 - So, is there any concern, should I be worried Q. about the existing customers and them knowing that's out there? Does that cause any concerns?
 - As part of the Settlement, Clearview has agreed Α. to come in a as yet unspecified amount of time prior to any such exit from the state to present an exit plan to Staff for review. And, at that point in time, we would be very careful about sufficient notice to customers to provide them with opportunities to make whatever changes they choose to make at that point.

```
1
                    CMSR. SCOTT:
                                  Okay. Thank you.
 2
         That's all I have.
 3
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner
 4
         Bailey.
 5
                   CMSR. BAILEY:
                                   Thank you.
    BY CMSR. BAILEY:
 6
 7
         It sounds like your expectation is that they
 8
         will exit the market. But, from my discussion
9
         with Mr. Mondschein, it didn't sound like that
10
         to me.
11
         Uh-huh.
    Α.
12
         What gives you the idea that they're going to
    Q.
13
         exit the market?
14
         I think that either of the two things could
15
         happen, honestly. The Company has a certain
16
         number of customers enrolled right now. How
17
         long it would take for those customers to leave
18
         their service through attrition is anybody's
19
         guess. And if -- we talked -- Attorney Wiesner
20
         talked about a stay-out provision, Attorney
21
         Mondschein talked about a stay-out provision.
22
         If that period expires before the volume of
23
         customers that the Company continues to serve
24
         reaches a point where financially it makes no
```

41

sense for them to continue to serve in the state, they may want to look at asking the Commission to let them have another chance in New Hampshire with a different type of -- perhaps a different type of marketing plan or approach.

So, one or the other could happen. That they could leave the market before the stay-out period ends, or they could still be here when the stay-out period ends, and then they'd reevaluate where they stand at that point.

12 Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 13 A. I can't give you a definite what's going to happen.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. The Settlement Agreement does not provide for any fines, is that correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. If the Company did not follow the Agreement, --
- 20 A. Uh-huh.
- 21 Q. -- and part of the Agreement is to hold the 22 hearing in abeyance, --
- 23 A. Uh-huh.
- 24 Q. -- we would not be waiving our ability to fine

1		them, if they were called back in here. Is
2		that part of the Settlement?
3	Α.	It's not a term that we talked about this
4		morning. And I think I would leave it to
5		attorney Wiesner to comment on whether the
6		Commission would be restricting its options in
7		any way. My understanding is that the
8		Commission would not. But I'll leave it to
9		Mr. Wiesner.
10		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You're
11		disclaiming legal authority here, Ms. Noonan?
12		WITNESS NOONAN: I am. I am. I am
13		not an attorney, and I was disclaiming all
14		legal authority.
15		CMSR. BAILEY: As I was asking the
16		question, I was thinking "Oh, this is a legal
17		question, shoot."
18		Mr. Wiesner.
19		MR. WIESNER: If I may address that,
20		I think that is correct. We did not discuss
21		that in detail, and that's probably a detailed
22		point that should be covered in the definitive
23		written Settlement Agreement.
24		But I think the way I've thought of

[WITNESS:

Noonanl

43

1 it is, if there are problems that the 2 Settlement is not complied with, if there are 3 marketing activities which are inconsistent 4 with the commitments that the Company has made, 5 then this proceeding would come back to life, we'd have a hearing, and all remedies would be 6 7 available to the Commission at that time. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I actually 8 9 thought you did, I thought maybe both of you 10 indicated that that was the case. That, 11 basically, we'd be agreeing to hold this one, 12 this -- the resolution is a resolution of this 13 docket. But, if the Company were to violate 14 the terms of the Agreement, it would not be a 15 valid agreement anymore, the docket could be 16 reopened, and the proceeding would pick up from 17 where we left off. 18 And, Mr. Mondschein, you agree with 19 that? 20 MR. MONDSCHEIN: I do agree. 21 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: There you go, Ms. Noonan. Maybe you do have a future as a 22

{DE 17-002} {01-31-17}

There you go.

Ιt

WITNESS NOONAN:

lawyer after all.

23

[WITNESS: Noonan]

```
1 rubs off after all those years.
```

- 2 BY CMSR. BAILEY:
- Q. What do you think you will be able to do if you can't reach agreement with the Company on the length of time for the stay-out?
 - A. So, I think that if we can't, once we get into the details and hammering out all the details of a settlement agreement, if we find we can't get there, unfortunately, I think then we would be back at having a hearing on the merits.
- Q. Okay. All right. And the written Settlement is going to be finished within ten business days?
- 14 A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

- 15 Q. Is that correct? Okay.
- 16 A. Sooner, if we can do it, but ten business days.
- 17 CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. All right.
- 18 Thank you. That's all I have.
- 19 WITNESS NOONAN: Uh-huh.
- 20 BY CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG:
- Q. Ms. Noonan, what's the order of magnitude of
 how many customers the Company has in the state
 today?
- 24 A. More than 10,000, less than 20.

[WITNESS: Noonan]

```
Q. Okay. What's a typical length of time for you to get notice for a wind-down plan?
```

- A. We don't have a typical length of time for that to happen.
- 5 Q. Have we had wind-down plans in the past?
- 6 A. No.
- Q. In fact, most of the time when these things happen, they happen on an emergency basis?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. So, a process you're going to get to think

 11 about as this Agreement is reduced to writing,

 12 is that what you're saying?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think that's all the questions I have.
- Mr. Wiesner, do you have any further questions for Ms. Noonan?

MR. WIESNER: I do not. I will
observe that our proposed rules, which have
been subject to public comment, do provide for
a process for voluntary withdrawal. And it's
possible that they may provide some guidance
to the Parties in working out the details of
the Settlement Agreement.

1 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Ι 2 think, Ms. Noonan, you can probably return to 3 your seat. Thank you. Oh, actually, yes, we 4 gave everybody a chance, right? We gave you 5 companies a chance. 6 Mr. Taylor? 7 MR. TAYLOR: I just had one question, 8 if I may. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Go for it. 9 10 MR. TAYLOR: A follow-up question. CROSS-EXAMINATION (resumed) 11 12 BY MR. TAYLOR: 13 This goes to the question of the customers that 14 stay on, and I think this concept of "winding 15 down", potentially winding down. And we were 16 wondering if the Company, meaning Clearview, 17 would be permitted to renegotiate contracts 18 with those customers, or if that would fall 19 into the category of marketing that's 20 prohibited going forward? 21 So, I think that question depends upon what 22 Clearview's response is to whether they have 23 any customers on that fixed term contract. Ιf 24 all their customers are on variable rate

contracts, then there's no renegotiation of a

contract. If they are on a fixed rate/fixed

term contract, without having gotten into that

level of detail, my view would be that, when

that fixed term/fixed rate contract ends, that

customer's enrollment with Clearview also ends.

Q. Okay. And I think, just as a follow-up to

- that, I think what we had in mind was perhaps a customer, who may be on a variable rate, indicating that they were going to drop service, that they may then be offered some additional or some sort of -- some different product. I'm not sure if that's something that would actually be the case.
- A. Uh-huh.

- 16 Q. But I'm wondering if that's something that

 17 would be contemplated under the Settlement or

 18 if that would be prohibited?
 - A. Yes. Again, a level of detail we didn't get into this morning. But my understanding of the Company's commitment is that that would not occur. If a customer chooses to leave, they would leave.
 - MR. TAYLOR: Thank you very much.

1 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Now, 2 Ms. Noonan, I think you can return to your 3 seat. We still have the confidentiality to 4 5 Although, my sense is that we have a 6 written motion requesting confidential 7 treatment. We have time for those who oppose it to file written responses. I did look at 8 9 the motion that came in this morning, and the 10 attachments to it. I didn't form any strong 11 opinions one way or the other. 12 But wouldn't it make sense, Mr. 13 Kreis, for those who want to oppose the motion 14 to file a response? We wouldn't rule, 15 probably, until you had a chance to do that. 16 MR. KREIS: I am comfortable with 17 that approach, if you are. I suppose, 18 alternatively, we could address that in the 19 Settlement Agreement as well. 20 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Who was going to 21 speak? Mr. Mondschein.

MR. MONDSCHEIN: Mr. Honigberg, thank you. You know, another approach could be we're

24 not having the hearing today. Those documents

22

were filed under proprietary for the purposes of the hearing. I'd be just as happy to withdraw the motion, and ask that the documents be returned or destroyed that were filed. And, if we need to go to a hearing another day, we reinstate the motion.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Yes. We're not crazy about doing that. But it's something you can discuss with Staff and Mr. Kreis. It may be that you can reach an agreement on what to do and how to deal with those documents. I think we're inclined not to rule on that now. If you can work it out, that's great.

I have to tell you, I am -- I share Commissioner Bailey's concern, that there is a material term that you guys haven't worked out. And I've been in mediations where the parties felt they had an agreement, didn't get it reduced sufficiently to writing, and 24 hours later they didn't have an agreement anymore.

So, I don't know what level of writing you guys have at this point. I don't know -- I don't know how much -- how productive it would be for you to try to hammer out as

many remaining details as you can while you're here today. And the length of the stay-out would be one of them.

I think Mr. Taylor raises an interesting point about what the Company may or may not do when it receives a call from one of its existing and then continuing customers.

What can it say or not say? Does that -- does Staff or the Parties want to put something in the Agreement to nail that down?

I'm not sure, as I sit here, that we should actually close this proceeding right now. I'm inclined to ask if it would make more sense to leave it open. Two -- actually, all three of us are going to need to take a break, and all of you are going to need to get something to eat, and see if you can get more of -- more of it reduced, so that everybody is comfortable that the true essential terms are done, and that we'll have an agreement, not just in principle right now, but a solid agreement later today that everybody will be comfortable with tomorrow and the day after that. That was more of a question.

So, actually, Commissioner Bailey, 1 2 looks like she wants to pipe up. 3 CMSR. BAILEY: It's a question for 4 you, Mr. Chairman. So, are you thinking that 5 we could come back in later in the day and hear 6 about the terms that they weren't able to 7 articulate right now, and then they would go reduce it to writing? Or are you looking for 8 9 them to put it into writing today? 10 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I would say, if 11 they can put it in writing, they should. Now, 12 that doesn't mean they have all of the terms 13 that are part of the Settlement Agreement, all 14 the boilerplate that necessarily works or some 15 of the details. But the essential terms would 16 be reduced to writing to the greatest extent 17 possible. And we would be available to come 18 back to hear about them later today. 19 CMSR. BAILEY: I would agree with 20 that approach. 21 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: What do the 22 parties think of that? Off the record. 23 [Brief off-the-record comment.] 24 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Wiesner, you have --

MR. WIESNER: I was just going to say, you know, during this hearing, we've identified a couple of open issues, which could be seen as detailed points or, you know, potentially could, you know, jeopardize the basic settlement. I'm optimistic that we can get there and close those gaps, whether it's this afternoon or at some other point. But, you know, there's no guarantee that that will happen.

We can spend a little bit more time together trying to iron out those details. I believe that we will never cover everything that we can think of if we have more time.

And, over the course of ten business days, I'm sure there's more detail that will appear in the final written Agreement that we're not able to agree to today.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You are undoubtedly correct. And I don't know what you've discussed with respect, for example, the stay-out provision. But, if Staff is thinking "a decade", and the Company is thinking "two

months", that seems to be a very difficult gap
to bridge. But, if the discussion is "18
months" versus "24 months", that's a very
different type of level of disagreement, and I
don't know what you've discussed. But the fact
that there is no agreement on that point, and
we didn't hear numbers like that, makes me, and
I know Commissioner Bailey and Commissioner
Scott, concerned that, while you think you have
a deal, you don't.

So, I think, while people are here and they had the day blocked off, it may well make sense to see how much more you can get done while striking -- striking while the iron is hot, as it were.

I see nodding heads. It's nice to have people agree with me every once in a while.

 $\label{eq:MR.MONDSCHEIN: We can -- look,} % % The property of the property o$

I would say, though, to try and reduce it to a writing might be difficult. I think, if we come back with some more verbal commitments and put those on the record, I

think that would be preferrable.

But I understand what you're asking for, and I don't see why we can't do that. And we won't take up your time until we need you to come back and tell you what we've done.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I appreciate that, Mr. Mondschein. Really, what we're talking about is a term sheet.

MR. MONDSCHEIN: Exactly.

MR. WIESNER: I mean, it's bullet points that you would have and you would read into the record.

MR. MONDSCHEIN: Exactly.

inclined to make sure that the utilities are part of your discussion, because things come up. Questions like the one that you resolved off the record early in the hearing this morning, and having to have your conversations with Mr. Fossum and Mr. Sheehan and Mr. Taylor. And, then, you know, the issue Mr. Taylor raised, which I think probably was dealt with. But there may be others that, as you discuss it, they will say "well, what about this?" And

1 you can think about that, and maybe come up with an answer while everybody is here. 2 3 So, all right. I think people are in 4 general agreement. So, what we're going to do 5 then is break. It's 12:25 right now. I know 6 we'll generally be unavailable probably until 7 close to two o'clock, you know, it might be a little bit earlier than that, maybe quarter to 8 9 two. But that's probably when the 10 Commissioners will be available again. One of 11 us might be available earlier than that, if you 12 need a read on something. And we'll plan on 13 getting back together at some point this 14 afternoon? [Multiple parties nodding in the 15 16 affirmative.] 17 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. With 18 that, we will adjourn this hearing and resume 19 later. 20 MR. MONDSCHEIN: Thank you. 21 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you all. 22 [Recess taken at 12:29 p.m. and 23 the hearing resumed at 4:10 24 p.m.]

1 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Who 2 wants to tell us where we are here at 4:10 in 3 the afternoon? 4 MR. WIESNER: Mr. Chairman, we spent 5 some time this afternoon, per the Commission's 6 suggestion, working on some more of the details 7 of this Settlement. We have a term sheet, which has significantly more detail than was 8 9 described this morning. And I can provide that 10 to the Commissioners, if you'd like. And I can 11 also describe it, and then we can see if there 12 are any questions. We were not thinking that 13 we needed additional testimony from the Staff's 14 witness, but --15 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: My sense is, 16 unless there's some significant departure from 17 what she testified to earlier, that probably 18 won't be necessary, especially if the Parties 19 in the room essentially stipulate that that's 20 what the situation is. 21 Would anyone disagree with that? 22 [No verbal response.] 23 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I don't see

{DE 17-002} {01-31-17}

anyone who disagrees with that.

All right. So, do you want to provide us with something in writing, is that what you were suggesting, Mr. Wiesner?

MR. WIESNER: Yes. We have a term sheet that we can provide, and I think that might be helpful. I can also summarize some of the key points that are covered here, that, I mean, some of them are things you heard about before, this morning, and others are detailed points which we've now included. And they cover such things as the period of the "stay-out", as we referred to it this morning, as well as some more detail about what type of customers are considered "existing customers", and what changes may be made with respect to them, and some detail regarding EDI transactions and how they will be processed by the utilities. So, I believe --

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So, is the writing going to be an exhibit or are you just providing it to us for information, and the record will be whatever it is you say and Mr. Patnaude records?

MR. WIESNER: I think it -- either

```
1
                I'm not sure that's a good answer.
 2
         you know, the understanding here is that this
 3
         is a term sheet, it needs to be fleshed out
         into a detailed Agreement, which as we
 4
 5
         described this morning, would be memorialized
 6
         in a definitive written Settlement Agreement
 7
         that would be filed with the Commission within
         ten business days.
 8
                    CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Let's mark this.
9
10
         There's no exhibits in this yet, is there?
         This would be "Exhibit 1"?
11
12
                    MR. WIESNER: So, this will be
13
          "Exhibit 1".
14
                         (The document, as described, was
15
                         herewith marked as Exhibit 1 for
16
                         identification.)
17
                    MR. WIESNER: And, so, maybe I'll
18
          just take a quick march through it without
19
         reading every word.
20
                    The first paragraph is Clearview's
21
         commitment to essentially immediately cease all
22
         marketing and sales activities in the state,
23
         with a specific date of February 1st, tomorrow.
24
                    Then, Paragraph 2 is Clearview's
```

commitment not to send any new enrollment requests to the utilities. However, the parenthetical notes certain situations where drops or enrollments in the EDI system are used to effect customer name changes, address changes, and similar such situations, which do not involve enrolling a new customer.

Paragraph 3 is the eight days that we talked about this morning with respect to EDI enrollments and the utilities' authorization to block any EDI enrollments that occur on or after February 9th. Again, except for the types of EDI transactions that would be used to effect customer name changes, etcetera, that do not involve enrollment of new customers.

Four (4) is Clearview's authorization to continue to serve existing customers through the balance of their existing contract terms, or until those customers choose alternative service.

Paragraph 5 clarifies that, by stating that "Clearview will not attempt to renegotiate or modify existing contract terms" with its customers, nor attempt to "save"

existing customers", or attempt to "win back" any former customers. Those terms are in quotes, and we would hope to define those more specifically in the definitive Agreement.

"saving" an existing customer, is the customer calls up Clearview and says "I'm considering leaving Clearview's electricity supply", and that -- and then the Company, in the absence of this prohibition, might agree to lower the rate charged to that customer in order to keep them as an enrolled customer. So, this is a commitment not to do that. Basically, the existing contracts, as written, run their course without modification.

Paragraph 6 is the prohibition on any early termination fees, ETFs. There aren't many, as we understand it, because most of the Company's contracts are variable rate contracts. But, if there are any, they would be waived.

Paragraph 7 is a confirmation that fixed contracts can roll over into a variable pricing structure, pursuant to the existing

terms of the fixed contract. And that is consistent with what I said earlier, which is contracts, as written, run their course without modification.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Paragraph 8 is a long one, which I will not read to you, but it is basically the two-year stay-out provision. For 24 months, Clearview will not even ask the Commission for permission to resume marketing and sales activities in New Hampshire. After that time period, the Company can propose to resume marketing and sales activities, and the plan, the timelines, and specifics of that proposal will be provided to the Commission at that time, reviewed by Staff, pursuant to the criteria, the non-exhaustive criteria that is specified in Paragraph 8, make a recommendation to the Commission, and then the Commission would act on that recommendation by order or secretarial letter.

Paragraph 9 is basically a confirmation that the Commission will process the pending renewal application for Clearview to extend its registration term, or I should

say "renew its registration term" in the normal course. And a confirming sentence that basically says "subject to Clearview's compliance with the Settlement Agreement, this proceeding will not be used against Clearview in connection with the pending application for registration renewal".

Paragraph 10 is essentially confirmatory of the fact that Clearview will no longer be providing new customer service in the state, and therefore will not be able -- will not have its offerings entered on the Commission's shopping comparison website.

Paragraph 11 is a commitment by the Company "within a reasonable period of time", it says, which we understand to be 60 days or less. And we'll, I think, incorporate that specific timeframe into the definitive Agreement to provide adequate notice on its website, essentially informing any potential new customers that Clearview is no longer signing up new customers in the state, but providing information for existing customers related to, as an example, environmental

disclosures that are required by statute.

Paragraph 12 basically directs
utilities to provide Commission Staff with
notification regarding complaints or inquiries
that they receive regarding Clearview's
customer service or other activities, and as
well a commitment by Clearview to report to
Staff complaints and inquiries that are related
to the subject matter of Staff's investigation
in this proceeding.

Paragraph 13 is the transition plan requirement. Basically, if Clearview were to intend to leave the state, as we had described and discussed somewhat this morning, they would provide a plan to effect that transition no less than 90 days prior to the intended effective date. And the plan would cover notice to customers informing them of their right to select an alternative supplier, or, in lieu of that, be transferred to utility default service.

Fourteen (14) is a provision that would require the Company to make payments to remedy losses incurred by customers who

```
1
         switched to Clearview at a time when they were
         entitled to EAP discounts. That is a
 2
 3
         commitment which has no time limit on it. So,
         a customer who has left Clearview's supply, or
 4
 5
         a customer who contacts Clearview to
 6
         discontinue Clearview's service, due to the
 7
         loss of the EAP discount would be effectively
         made whole.
 8
9
                   And Paragraph 15, finally, affirms
10
         what we described this morning, which is docket
11
         remains open, but the hearing is held in
12
         abeyance, pending full satisfaction by
         Clearview of the terms and conditions of the
13
14
         Settlement. Upon such full satisfaction, Staff
15
         would notify the Commission and the docket
16
         would be closed at that time.
17
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG:
                                        Thank you,
18
         Mr. Wiesner.
                   Mr. Mondschein, is that a fair
19
20
         summary of how things went?
21
                   MR. MONDSCHEIN: Yes, it is.
22
         you very much.
23
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
24
         see Mr. Kreis is no longer here, but is Mr.
```

1	Kreis on board with this?
2	MR. WIESNER: My understanding is
3	he's on board with it. He's not seen the
4	details of this Term Sheet. But we understand
5	that he's in agreement with the basic structure
6	of the Settlement.
7	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Taylor?
8	Mr. Sheehan?
9	MR. TAYLOR: No objection.
10	MR. SHEEHAN: The same. And
11	Mr. Fossum had to leave, and he expressed
12	general support for this as well.
13	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I was going to
14	ask if anybody could speak for Mr. Fossum.
15	Do the Commissioners have questions?
16	[No verbal response.]
17	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I have a
18	question.
19	On Paragraph 10, just as it
20	contemplates something on Clearview's website,
21	indicating that they're not taking New
22	Hampshire customers, would it be sensible to
23	state that as well on the Commission's website?
24	Because, if I'm in the market, and my neighbor

```
says "I have Clearview, I love it. It's great.
 1
         It's wind. You feel good about it every day.
 2
         You should look into it." And I want to
 3
 4
         compare to how it shakes out, it won't be on
 5
         the Commission's website.
 6
                   MR. WIESNER: One of the things that
 7
         we would certainly do is the Commission's
         website has a listing of registered suppliers.
 8
9
         And, in some cases, there's a notation that
10
         those suppliers are not currently serving
11
         customers or soliciting new customers. And I
12
         think a similar notification would be put on
         the Commission's website where Clearview is
13
14
         listed.
15
                   So, they would be registered, but
16
         there would be a notification to customers that
17
         they're not currently accepting new customers.
         And whether -- I'm not sure whether we'd
18
19
         consider there should be any other notice or
         communication on the Commission's website?
20
21
                         [Court reporter interruption.]
```

MR. WIESNER: Sorry.

22

23

24

MS. NOONAN: I don't think that we had contemplated any other time of notice,

other than the change in the listing of suppliers, Clearview's need to update the website to remove its products. Just those two changes.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. Fair enough. Commissioner Bailey.

CMSR. BAILEY: Thank you. In

Paragraph 8, when Clearview comes back after 24

months and seeks Staff's recommendation to be

allowed to re-enter the market, one of the

things that Staff shall consider is "the number

and type of complaints concerning the applicant

or its principals, if any, filed with the state

licensing/registration, attorney general's

office," etcetera.

Will this Settlement be a record for other states who are looking at Clearview of complaints in New Hampshire? How does that work?

MS. NOONAN: So, I can't speak to how Clearview would disclose this on their applications in other states. We do periodically get requests from other states for information about the types and numbers or

1	numbers and types of complaints that we've
2	received regarding an applicant in their state,
3	and that information would be disclosed.
4	CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Thank you.
5	And, then, just one other area. On Item 14,
6	the discounts to EAP customers. Do those
7	customers who have already discontinued service
8	have to ask for the refund in order to get it?
9	MS. NOONAN: Yes. That's correct. A
10	customer who has EAP would need to contact the
11	Commission and/or Clearview to get their EAP
12	discount reimbursed to them for the period of
13	time that they didn't receive it.
14	CMSR. BAILEY: So, all those customer
15	complaints that you listed in the Staff memo
16	that were from customers who had an EAP
17	discount, will they be reimbursed?
18	MS. NOONAN: To the extent that there
19	are customers that have not yet been, those
20	customers will be.
21	CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. All right.
22	Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. I
24	think that about covers it. With this Term

1 Sheet in place, I think, and the agreement of 2 the Parties, including the utilities and the 3 OCA, that this reflects everyone's desires, the 4 record that was made earlier, does anyone feel 5 we need anything else to put on the record in order to determine, once the final Agreement is 6 7 submitted, that the Settlement is in the public interest? 8 9 [No verbal response.] 10 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think we're 11 good, Mr. Wiesner. And I'm hoping you'll agree 12 with me? 13 MR. WIESNER: I was hoping you would 14 say it first. Thank you. I think the record 15 is sufficiently complete. I do not expect that 16 the definitive Agreement will be materially 17 different, although it may have additional 18 detail. 19 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Anyone else? 20 Everyone's pushing their microphones away. 21 Mr. Mondschein, you're reaching for 22 the button. 23 MR. MONDSCHEIN: I just wanted to

thank the Commission Staff for helping getting

this completed today, and for their patience 1 with us in working this out. 2 3 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I also want to 4 thank the Parties, everyone who was here all 5 day today, including the members of the public who were here all morning, for their patience 6 7 to work this out. Because it does sound like, as is often the case, the Settlement doesn't 8 9 make everybody ecstatic, but it least it leaves 10 everybody satisfied that -- where they've 11 gotten a result that's acceptable or they 12 wouldn't accept it. 13 Is there anything else we need to do 14 before we close the record? [No verbal response.] 15 16 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Seeing none. 17 We'll adjourn. We'll await filing of the 18 definitive Agreement, and then issue an order 19 as quickly as we can. Thank you all. 20 CMSR. BAILEY: Thank you. 21 MR. MONDSCHEIN: Thank you. 22 [Whereupon the hearing was 23 adjourned at 4:25 p.m.] 24